

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/807,281	03/24/2004	Franz Fischer	6579-0622	3945
7590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Richard R. Michaud			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
The Michaud-Duffy Group, LLP Suite 206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
306 Industrial Park Road			3724	
Middletown, C	CT 06457		DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/807,281	FISCHER ET AL.	CHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	· · · · · ·	erits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-36,41 and 42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-36,41 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ć (6)	.		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/807,281

Art Unit: 3724

1. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 36, the phrase "in the plane defined by the cutting edge" is indefinite.

The cutting edge is one-dimensional and inherently does not define any plane, thus it is not clear what would or would not infringe on this claim.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 34-36,41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawara et al.'249, who shows a unitary razor body with all of the limitations as seen in figure 9. The flywheel vibration device (150-152) is in proximity to the blade mount (132). The blade is vibrated along its cutting edge (lines 43-45, column 2).
- 4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claim 36 is not indefinite. However, the fact remains that a one-dimensional object does not define a plane.

Applicant argues that Kawara's razor body is not "unitary". The term "unitary" means "of or pertaining to a unit" (Webster's II university dictionary). The Kawara's razor body is certainly a unit. Even though it is comprised of various parts, these parts

Application/Control Number: 10/807,281

Art Unit: 3724

are rigidly connected together, thus the body is considered to be "unitary". Of interest is In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347, which ruled that the prior art could be considered "integral" even though is was made of several parts.

Even if Applicant did find a way to claim that the body was made from just one piece, it still would have been obvious to modify Kawara by making it out of one piece.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

Application/Control Number: 10/807,281

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KP July 8, 2006

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER